

PRACTICING ANTHROPOLOGY: ETHICS, THEORY, ENGAGEMENT

Winter 2021

Instructor: Basit Kareem Iqbal
Email: iqbalb3@mcmaster.ca

Lecture: Fridays, 8:30-11:20 via Zoom
Office Hours: Thursdays, 9-10 via Zoom

Contents

Course Description	1
Course Objectives	2
Required Materials and Texts.....	2
Class Format	2
Course Evaluation – Overview	2
Course Evaluation – Details	3
Weekly Course Schedule and Required Readings.....	4
Course Policies.....	7
Submission of Assignments	7
Grades	7
Late Assignments.....	7
Absences, Missed Work, Illness.....	7
Avenue to Learn.....	7
Turnitin.com	7
University Policies	8
Faculty of Social Sciences E-mail Communication Policy	8
Privacy Protection	8
Course Modification	8
Approved Advisory Statements.....	9

Course Description

The seminar begins by setting the scene of contemporary anthropology and the context of our community placement (newcomer services in Hamilton). It then turns to the institutional reason that organizes anthropological ethics and to a series of models proposed for anthropological praxis (applied, engaged, public, militant). The second half of the course cycles in turn through a number of

public fields—development, humanitarianism, health, security, law, history/imagination—where anthropological knowledge is applied or engaged, concluding with a critical reprisal of the discipline. The course pursues unintended consequences, limits, and ambivalence: rather than confidently equipping students with the tools to instrumentalize anthropological knowledge, it traverses the difficult distinction between practice and critique in search of a disciplinary humility.

Note: This course includes a community placement option organized through the Office of Experiential Education. The details of this placement component vary every year. Under our current pandemic conditions, the placement takes place over Zoom and entails a commitment of 1-2 hours per week conversing with a newcomer family. More details will be provided on the first day of class, but please know that the placement option requires attending an orientation session and completing a vulnerable sector police check, in addition to the weekly time commitment. Given our pandemic conditions, this year the community placement is optional. If students cannot or do not wish to commit to the weekly hours, they may choose to write a midterm paper instead.

Due to the delayed start of classes provided by the University, some details and deadlines regarding course content and assessments in the published course outline may be changed. Please check Avenue to Learn for the most up-to-date information for this course. The course outline on Avenue to Learn will supersede previously published outlines until published course outlines are updated.

Course Objectives

By the end of the course, students should be able to:

- Integrate experiential and academic knowledge in reflection, analysis, discernment, evaluation
- Persuasively and clearly communicate key arguments, both orally and in writing
- Consider contemporary ethical and methodological debates on the promise and pitfalls of applied research
- Mobilize anthropological knowledge toward critically analyzing schemes for improving the human condition

Required Materials and Texts

All course readings will be available on Avenue. There are no books required for purchase for this course.

Class Format

Weekly 3-hour seminar, held synchronously over Zoom (link available on Avenue).

Course Evaluation – Overview

1. Short paper – 10%
2. Presentation – 20%
3. Placement reflections (or midterm essay) – 30%
4. Term essay – 40%

Course Evaluation – Details

Short paper (10%), due on February 22

In this short paper (3-4 pages long, double-spaced), please reflect on the promise and pitfalls of “practicing anthropology”. The readings from week 4 and week 5 propose models for what such an anthropological praxis might look like (applied, engaged, public, militant). Choose two of the readings from these weeks and present the authors’ cases. What are the stakes of their arguments? What criticisms do they face? What mandate does it give the discipline of anthropology?

Presentation (20%)

In the first week of the semester, you will sign up to present on the course readings and facilitate discussion in one of our meetings. This involves a 20-minute presentation of the course materials and 30 minutes of discussion. Please upload a written version of your presentation and discussion questions to the Avenue dropbox beforehand (that morning is fine).

Placement reflections (30%), due April 9

This assignment (7-8 pages, double spaced) provides an opportunity to reflect on your experience of the community placement in relation to the course material. Write this assignment in two parts, at two stages in the semester. In the first section (2-3 pages, to be written in the first few weeks of the course), present how you are approaching the placement component. What experiential and academic background do you bring to this placement? What kinds of questions do you anticipate arising? In the second section (5-6 pages, to be written in the last few weeks of the course), reflect on the experience of the placement. What kinds of analytical & critical topics emerged? How do these relate to our course themes? Note that what is being graded here are not your perceptions of the placement, but rather your ability to demonstrate thoughtful reflection on these experiences. The goal of the assignment is not to provide solutions to perceived problems in newcomer settlement but to analyze some of the structural or other issues that underlie research challenges.

NB: given the exceptional conditions we face in this pandemic year, as noted above, this community placement is optional. If you choose not to participate in the placement, let me know in the first week of the course. Instead of the placement reflections, you will then write a 7-8 page literature review midterm paper (also due April 9) which surveys the policy and ethnographic literature on newcomer settlement in Canada.

Term paper (40%), due April 22

Write your term paper (8-9 pages, double spaced) about the intersections of anthropological knowledge and a field of practice, whether a field we have addressed in the course (development, humanitarianism, security, law, health) or another (e.g., museum studies, the heritage sector, artificial intelligence). Pick a theme that is relevant and of interest to you, and confirm it with me by April 2. You are expected to draw on at least two of our course readings and five external sources. Feel free to build on the analyses you began in your short paper and presentation. Upload it to the relevant Avenue dropbox by 11pm on April 22.

Weekly Course Schedule and Required Readings

NB This schedule of readings includes “recommended” readings, which are provided for your interest and assistance—there is no obligation to engage them, but those of you who are presenting or writing about materials from that week will find them helpful.

Week 1 (January 15)

Inheriting Anthropology

- Course introduction and discussion of the following interview (to be read in class, no need to read it beforehand):
 - Ryan Cecil Jobson and Kamari Clarke interviewed by Lucia Cantero, “The Case for Letting Anthropology Burn? Considerations and Reflections,” *American Anthropologist* website, July 20, 2020, <http://www.americananthropologist.org/2020/07/20/the-case-for-letting-anthropology-burn/>

Week 2 (January 18)

Placement Case: Newcomer Services in Hamilton

- Huyen Dam and Sarah V. Wayland, “Syrian Refugee Resettlement: A Case Study of Local Response in Hamilton, Ontario,” *The Canadian Geographer* 63, no. 3 (2019): 360-373
- Hamilton Immigration Partnership Council, “Exploring Hamilton’s Informal Settlement Network” (April 2019)
 - *Recommended*: Leah K. Hamilton, Luisa Veronis, and Margaret Walton-Roberts, eds., *A National Project: Syrian Refugee Resettlement in Canada* (Montreal & Kingston: McGill-Queen’s University Press, 2020), selections

Week 3 (January 29)

Anthropological Ethics

- 2018 Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research involving Humans, https://ethics.gc.ca/eng/policy-politique_tcps2-eptc2_2018.html (Chapters 1, 2, 3, and 5)
- Kevin Haggerty, “Ethics Creep: Governing Social Science Research in the Name of Ethics,” *Qualitative Sociology* 27, no. 4 (2004): 391-414
 - *Recommended*: Philippe Bourgois, “Confronting Anthropological Ethics: Ethnographic Lessons from Central America,” *Journal of Peace Research* 27, no. 1 (February 1990): 43-54

Week 4 (February 5)

Applied, Engaged, Public Anthropology

Read the abstracts for the articles from these two special issues, and skim a full article of your choice from each:

- *Annals of Anthropological Practice* 18, no. 1 (January 2000), Special Issue: “The Unity of Theory and Practice in Anthropology: Rebuilding A Fractured Synthesis”
- *Current Anthropology* 51, no. s2 (October 2010): “Engaged Anthropology: Diversity and Dilemmas”
 - *Recommended*: Barbara Rylko-Bauer, Merrill Singer, and John Van Willigen, “Reclaiming Applied Anthropology: Its Past, Present, and Future,” *American Anthropologist* 108, no. 1 (2006): 178-190

Week 5 (February 12)

Ambivalent Anthropology

- Roy D'Andrade, "Moral Models in Anthropology," *Current Anthropology* 36, no. 3 (June 1995): 399-408
- Nancy Scheper-Hughes, "The Primacy of the Ethical: Propositions for a Militant Anthropology," *Current Anthropology* 36, no. 3 (June 1995): 409-440
- Don Kulick, "Theory in Furs: Masochist Anthropology," *Current Anthropology* 47, no. 6 (December 2006): 933-952
 - *Recommended*: Benjamin R. Teitelbaum, "Collaborating with the Radical Right: Scholar-Informant Anthropology and the Case for an Immoral Anthropology," *Current Anthropology* 60, no. 3 (June 2019): 414-435

Week 6 (February 19)

Reading Week!

Week 7 (February 26)

Development

- James Ferguson, "Anthropology and Its Evil Twin: 'Development' in the Constitution of a Discipline," in *International Development and the Social Sciences: Essays on the History and Politics of Knowledge*, eds. Frederick Cooper and Randall Packard (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997), 150-175
- Timothy Mitchell, "The Object of Development: America's Egypt," in *The Power of Development*, ed. Jonathan Crush (London: Routledge, 1995), 129-157
- Film: Stephanie Black, dir., *Life and Debt* (2001, 1hr 26min); **watch before class (access through the McMaster library catalogue)
 - *Recommended*: William F. Fisher, "Doing Good? The Politics and Antipolitics of NGO Practices," *Annual Review of Anthropology* 26 (1997): 439-464

Week 8 (March 5)

Humanitarianism

- Nicolas Guilhot, "The Anthropologist as Witness: Humanitarianism between Ethnography and Critique," *Humanity: An International Journal of Human Rights, Humanitarianism, and Development* 3, no. 1 (Spring 2012): 81-101
- Miriam Ticktin, "Where Ethics and Politics Meet," *American Ethnologist* 33, no. 1 (February 2006): 33-49
- Miriam Ticktin, "Humanity as Concept and Method: Reconciling Critical Scholarship and Empathetic Methods," *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East* 37, no. 3 (December 2017): 608-613
 - *Recommended*: Miriam Ticktin, "Transnational Humanitarianism," *Annual Review of Anthropology* 43 (October 2014): 273-289

Week 9 (March 12)

Health

- Paul Farmer, "An Anthropology of Structural Violence," *Current Anthropology* 45, no. 3 (June 2004): 305-325
- Cal Biruk, "Seeing Like a Research Project: Producing 'High-Quality Data' in AIDS Research in Malawi," *Medical Anthropology* 31, no. 4 (2012): 347-366
- Film: Greg Mitmann, dir., *In the Shadow of Ebola* (2014, 24min)

- *Recommended*: Somatosphere film forum (2015) on *In the Shadow of Ebola*, <http://somatosphere.net/2015/film-forum-in-the-shadow-of-ebola.html/>; Paul Farmer, "Diary: Ebola," *London Review of Books* 36, no. 20 (October 23, 2014), <https://www.lrb.co.uk/the-paper/v36/n20/paul-farmer/diary>

Week 10 (March 19)

Security

- Orin Starn, "Engineering Internment: Anthropologists and the War Relocation Authority," *American Ethnologist* 13, no. 4 (November 1986): 700-720
- Montgomery McFate, "The Military Utility of Understanding Adversary Culture," *Joint Force Quarterly* 38 (2005): 42-48
- George Packer, "Knowing the Enemy: Can Social Scientists Redefine the 'War on Terror'?" *The New Yorker*, December 18, 2006, <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2006/12/18/knowing-the-enemy>
- David Rohde, "Army Enlists Anthropology in War Zones," *The New York Times*, October 5, 2007, <http://www.nytimes.com/2007/10/05/world/asia/05afghan.html>
 - *Recommended*: The Network of Concerned Anthropologists, *The Counter-Counterinsurgency Manual* (Chicago: Prickly Paradigm Press, 2009), selections

Week 11 (March 26)

Law

- Lawrence Rosen, "The Anthropologist as Expert Witness," *American Anthropologist* 79, no. 3 (September 1977): 555-578
- Omer Stewart, "An Expert Witness Answers Rosen," *American Anthropologist* 81, no. 1 (March 1979): 108-111
- Christopher Bracken, "The Judges and the Pharmakon: Oral Tradition and Aboriginal Rights," *Native Studies Review* 22, nos. 1-2 (2013): 55-81
 - *Recommended*: Charles Hale, "Activist Research v. Cultural Critique: Indigenous Land Rights and the Contradictions of Politically Engaged Anthropology," *Cultural Anthropology* 21, no. 1 (February 2006): 60-96

Week 12 (April 2)

History of the Present

****no class because of Good Friday; optional discussion session on these materials to be scheduled**

- Kee Howe Yong, "Silences in History and Nation-state: Reluctant Accounts of the Cold War in Sarawak," *American Ethnologist* 33, no. 3 (2006): 462-473
- Petra Rethmann, "Imagining Political Possibility in an Age of Late Liberalism and Cynical Reason," *Reviews in Anthropology* 42 (2013): 227-242
 - *Recommended*: Vincent Crapanzano, "The Scene: Shadowing the Real," *Anthropological Theory* 6, no. 4 (2006): 387-405

Week 13 (April 9)

Revisiting the Discipline

- Talal Asad, "A Comment on Translation, Critique, and Subversion," in *Between Languages and Cultures: Translation and Cross-Cultural Texts*, eds. Anuradha Dingwaney and Carol Maier (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 1995), 325-332
- David Graeber, *Fragments of an Anarchist Anthropology* (Chicago: Prickly Paradigm Press, 2004), selections

- Wrap-up discussion

Course Policies

Submission of Assignments

All course assignments are to be uploaded to the respective dropbox on Avenue. Remember to confirm your term paper topic with me by April 2.

Grades

Grades will be based on the McMaster University grading scale:

MARK	GRADE
90-100	A+
85-90	A
80-84	A-
77-79	B+
73-76	B
70-72	B-
67-69	C+
63-66	C
60-62	C-
57-59	D+
53-56	D
50-52	D-
0-49	F

Late Assignments

Late assignments will receive a 5% deduction per day late or part thereof—starting 24 hours after the assignment due date. Accommodations will be made for extenuating circumstances.

Absences, Missed Work, Illness

Please use an MSAF to cover missed work that is worth less than 25% of your grade. An MSAF exception can be requested through your faculty office for work worth greater than 25% (with appropriate documentation).

Avenue to Learn

In this course we will be using Avenue to Learn. Students should be aware that, when they access the electronic components of this course, private information such as first and last names, user names for the McMaster e-mail accounts, and program affiliation may become apparent to all other students in the same course. The available information is dependent on the technology used. Continuation in this course will be deemed consent to this disclosure. If you have any questions or concerns about such disclosure please discuss this with the course instructor.

Turnitin.com

In this course we will be using a web-based service (Turnitin.com) to reveal plagiarism. Students will be expected to submit their work electronically to Turnitin.com and in hard copy so that it can be checked for academic dishonesty. Students who do not wish to submit their work to Turnitin.com must

still submit a copy to the instructor. No penalty will be assigned to a student who does not submit work to Turnitin.com. All submitted work is subject to normal verification that standards of academic integrity have been upheld (e.g., on-line search, etc.). To see the Turnitin.com Policy, please to go www.mcmaster.ca/academicintegrity.

University Policies

Faculty of Social Sciences E-mail Communication Policy

Effective September 1, 2010, it is the policy of the Faculty of Social Sciences that all e-mail communication sent from students to instructors (including TAs), and from students to staff, must originate from the student's own McMaster University e-mail account. This policy protects confidentiality and confirms the identity of the student. It is the student's responsibility to ensure that communication is sent to the university from a McMaster account. If an instructor becomes aware that a communication has come from an alternate address, the instructor may not reply at his or her discretion.

Privacy Protection

In accordance with regulations set out by the Freedom of Information and Privacy Protection Act, the University will not allow return of graded materials by placing them in boxes in departmental offices or classrooms so that students may retrieve their papers themselves; tests and assignments must be returned directly to the student. Similarly, grades for assignments for courses may only be posted using the last 5 digits of the student number as the identifying data. The following possibilities exist for return of graded materials:

1. Direct return of materials to students in class;
2. Return of materials to students during office hours;
3. Students attach a stamped, self-addressed envelope with assignments for return by mail;
4. Submit/grade/return papers electronically.

Arrangements for the return of assignments from the options above will be finalized during the first class.

Course Modification

The instructor and university reserve the right to modify elements of the course during the term. The university may change the dates and deadlines for any or all courses in extreme circumstances. If either type of modification becomes necessary, reasonable notice and communication with the students will be given with explanation and the opportunity to comment on changes. It is the responsibility of the student to check his/her McMaster email and course websites weekly during the term and to note any changes.

ADVISORY STATEMENTS

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

You are expected to exhibit honesty and use ethical behaviour in all aspects of the learning process. Academic credentials you earn are rooted in principles of honesty and academic integrity. **It is your responsibility to understand what constitutes academic dishonesty.**

Academic dishonesty is to knowingly act or fail to act in a way that results or could result in unearned academic credit or advantage. This behaviour can result in serious consequences, e.g. the grade of zero on an assignment, loss of credit with a notation on the transcript (notation reads: "Grade of F assigned for academic dishonesty"), and/or suspension or expulsion from the university. For information on the various types of academic dishonesty please refer to the [Academic Integrity Policy](https://secretariat.mcmaster.ca/university-policies-procedures-guidelines/), located at <https://secretariat.mcmaster.ca/university-policies-procedures-guidelines/>

The following illustrates only three forms of academic dishonesty:

- plagiarism, e.g. the submission of work that is not one's own or for which other credit has been obtained.
- improper collaboration in group work.
- copying or using unauthorized aids in tests and examinations.

AUTHENTICITY / PLAGIARISM DETECTION

Some courses may use a web-based service (Turnitin.com) to reveal authenticity and ownership of student submitted work. For courses using such software, students will be expected to submit their work electronically either directly to Turnitin.com or via an online learning platform (e.g. A2L, etc.) using plagiarism detection (a service supported by Turnitin.com) so it can be checked for academic dishonesty.

Students who do not wish their work to be submitted through the plagiarism detection software must inform the Instructor before the assignment is due. No penalty will be assigned to a student who does not submit work to the plagiarism detection software. **All submitted work is subject to normal verification that standards of academic integrity have been upheld** (e.g., on-line search, other software, etc.). For more details about McMaster's use of Turnitin.com please go to www.mcmaster.ca/academicintegrity.

COURSES WITH AN ON-LINE ELEMENT

Some courses may use on-line elements (e.g. e-mail, Avenue to Learn (A2L), LearnLink, web pages, capa, Moodle, ThinkingCap, etc.). Students should be aware that, when they access the electronic components of a course using these elements, private information such as first and last names, user names for the McMaster e-mail accounts, and program affiliation may become apparent to all other students in the same course. The available information is dependent on the technology used. Continuation in a course that uses on-line elements will be deemed consent to this disclosure. If you have any questions or concerns about such disclosure please discuss this with the course instructor.

ONLINE PROCTORING

Some courses may use online proctoring software for tests and exams. This software may require students to turn on their video camera, present identification, monitor and record their computer activities, and/or lock/restrict their browser or other applications/software during tests or exams. This software may be required to be installed before the test/exam begins.

CONDUCT EXPECTATIONS

As a McMaster student, you have the right to experience, and the responsibility to demonstrate, respectful and dignified interactions within all of our living, learning and working communities. These expectations are described in the [Code of Student Rights & Responsibilities](#) (the “Code”). All students share the responsibility of maintaining a positive environment for the academic and personal growth of all McMaster community members, **whether in person or online**.

It is essential that students be mindful of their interactions online, as the Code remains in effect in virtual learning environments. The Code applies to any interactions that adversely affect, disrupt, or interfere with reasonable participation in University activities. Student disruptions or behaviours that interfere with university functions on online platforms (e.g. use of Avenue 2 Learn, WebEx or Zoom for delivery), will be taken very seriously and will be investigated. Outcomes may include restriction or removal of the involved students’ access to these platforms.

ACADEMIC ACCOMMODATION OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Students with disabilities who require academic accommodation must contact [Student Accessibility Services](#) (SAS) at 905-525-9140 ext. 28652 or sas@mcmaster.ca to make arrangements with a Program Coordinator. For further information, consult McMaster University’s [Academic Accommodation of Students with Disabilities](#) policy.

REQUESTS FOR RELIEF FOR MISSED ACADEMIC TERM WORK

[McMaster Student Absence Form \(MSAF\)](#): In the event of an absence for medical or other reasons, students should review and follow the Academic Regulation in the Undergraduate Calendar “Requests for Relief for Missed Academic Term Work”.

ACADEMIC ACCOMMODATION FOR RELIGIOUS, INDIGENOUS OR SPIRITUAL OBSERVANCES (RISO)

Students requiring academic accommodation based on religious, indigenous or spiritual observances should follow the procedures set out in the [RISO](#) policy. Students should submit their request to their Faculty Office **normally within 10 working days** of the beginning of term in which they anticipate a need for accommodation or to the Registrar's Office prior to their examinations. Students should also contact their instructors as soon as possible to make alternative arrangements for classes, assignments, and tests.

COPYRIGHT AND RECORDING

Students are advised that lectures, demonstrations, performances, and any other course material provided by an instructor include copyright protected works. The Copyright Act and copyright law protect every original literary, dramatic, musical and artistic work, **including lectures** by University

instructors.

The recording of lectures, tutorials, or other methods of instruction may occur during a course. Recording may be done by either the instructor for the purpose of authorized distribution, or by a student for the purpose of personal study. Students should be aware that their voice and/or image may be recorded by others during the class. Please speak with the instructor if this is a concern for you.

EXTREME CIRCUMSTANCES

The University reserves the right to change the dates and deadlines for any or all courses in extreme circumstances (e.g., severe weather, labour disruptions, etc.). Changes will be communicated through regular McMaster communication channels, such as McMaster Daily News, A2L and/or McMaster email.

